

REMARKS

The subclass 384/91 definition:

91 **ROTARY BEARING:**


This subclass is indented under the class definition. Subject matter wherein the relative motion between the two elements includes a rotary component.

In order to obviate the examiner's prior objection to the drawings, applicant has substituted the well known and understood term "rotary bearing" for the terms listed under the Markush grouping in allowed claims 1, 7, and 20 in order to avoid the cumbersome, time consuming addition of drawings to applicants specification from prior patents, with their related descriptions of the numbered parts in the drawings, disclosing these well known types of rotary bearings listed under subclasses following 384/91. Thus, the withdrawal of this objection is respectfully solicited.

Pursuant to the prior office action, rejected claim 13 has been cancelled and allowed dependent claim 19 has been rewritten in independent form as claim 24. Claims 22 and 23 have been substituted for claims 9 and 18 now canceled to correct applicant's wording errors therein.

Should the examiner still deem the application not in condition for allowance, the examiner is respectfully requested to make any suggestions in a telephonic interview or otherwise that may further advance prosecution in accordance with the mandate of MPEP 707.07 (j); page 700-101 8th Ed. : "When an application discloses patentable subject matter and it is apparent from the claims and applicant's arguments that the claims are intended to be directed to such patentable subject matter, ... the examiner should not stop with a bare objection or rejection of the claims. The examiner's action should be constructive in nature and where possible, should offer a definite suggestion for correction."

Respectfully submitted,



Robert L. Nathans

Registration # 19,558.

978-667-3060

robnathans@aol.com